## Homework 7 - Spring 2020 MATH 126-001 - Introduction to PDEs

1. Let  $U \subset \mathbf{C}$  be an open domain and  $f: U \to \mathbf{C}$ . Suppose that

$$f(x+iy) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y)$$
 and  $\overline{f}(x+iy) = u(x,y) - iv(x,y)$ 

are holomorphic on U.

Find f.

2. Let

$$u(x,y) = \frac{x}{2} - 6x^2 + 4y - 6x^2y + 6y^2 + 2y^3.$$

- (a) Find all harmonic conjugates of u.
- (b) If  $z = a + ib \in \mathbf{C}$  then the **real** and **imaginary** components of z are defined by

$$\operatorname{Re}(z) = a$$
 and  $\operatorname{Im}(z) = b$ .

Find a function  $f: \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$  in terms of  $z \in \mathbf{C}$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(f) = u$$
.

- (c) Find the largest domain in  $\mathbf{C}$  that the function f from (b) is holomorphic on.
- 3. (a) Find

$$\oint_{|z-3|=2} \frac{e^{-z^2}}{z^3 - 9z^2 + 11z + 21} \, dz.$$

(b) Find

$$\oint_{|z-1|=2} \frac{\sin(z)}{z^2 - 4} \, dz.$$

4. Suppose the function  $f : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$  is holomorphic on

$$A = \{ z \in \mathbf{C} \mid 2 \le |z| \le 3 \}.$$

Furthermore,

$$|f(z)| \le 16$$
 on  $|z| = 2$  and  $|f(z)| \le 36$  on  $|z| = 3$ .

Show that

$$|f(z)| \le 4|z|^2$$

on A.

5. This problem outlines a "bar room" / informal proof of Cauchy's Integral Formula.

Assume U is a simply connected domain. Let f be holomorphic on  $\partial U$  and inside U and suppose  $z_0 \in U$ . We know

$$\oint_{\partial U} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz = \oint_{C_r} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz$$

where C is a circle centered at  $z_0$  with radius r.

- (a) Express  $z = z_0 + re^{it}$  where r is given by C and  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$  and rewrite the above integral in polar form.
- (b) From (a) let  $r \to 0$  in the integrand. Then integrate and find

$$\oint_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(z)}{z-z_0} dz.$$

- (c) What lacked rigor with what you did in part (5b)?
- 6. Find all radially symmetric solutions of

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz} = k^2 u.$$

7. Find all radially symmetric solutions of

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = k^2 u.$$

8. Determine if the maximum principle for harmonic functions applies to the function

$$u(x,y) = \frac{1 - x^2 - y^2}{1 - 2x + x^2 + y^2}$$

over the disk

$$D = \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid |\mathbf{x}| \le 1 \}.$$

9. Solve

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$$

on the set

$$D = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid |\mathbf{x}| \le 1\}$$

where

$$u = 1 + 3\sin\theta$$
 on  $\partial D$ .

(Here  $\theta$  denotes the polar angle on the boundary of D)

1. Idea: Use Caudy-Riemann equations to check holomorphicity.

1. Lea: Use Caudy - Kiemann equations to check holomorphicity.
$f$ is holomorphic => $U_x = V_y$ & $U_y = -V_x$ on $U$ .
$f is holomorphic \implies U_x = (-V)_y \ b \ U_y = -(-V)_x = V_x$
Hence, $V_{3} = U_{2} = -v_{3} \implies v_{3} \equiv 0$ , $U_{2} \equiv 0$ . Similarly, $U_{3} \equiv 0$ , $v_{2} \equiv 0$ .
Therefore, $U(X,Y)$ and $V(X,Y)$ should be constant. In particular, $f=c$ for some
$c \in \mathbb{C}$

2. Idea: Harmine anjugates the inightary part of the holomorphic function having it as the real part.  
(a) By the lady-Riemann equations, we have 
$$\mathcal{V}$$
 (the harmine anjugate of it) satisfy  $\mathcal{V}_{2} = (d_{x} = \frac{1}{2} - (2x - 12xy))$  by  $\mathcal{V}_{2} = -4 + 6x^{2} - (2y - 6y^{2})$ .  
Therefore,  $\mathcal{V}(2,y) = \frac{1}{2}y - (22y - 62y^{2} + f(x))$  and  $f(x) = -4 + 6x^{2}$ , so we get  $\mathcal{V}(2,y) = \frac{1}{2}y - (22y - 62y^{2} + q(x))$  and  $f(x) = -4 + 6x^{2}$ , so hence. C=0.  
(b)  $f(x+y) = U(x,y) + \mathcal{N}(x,y)$   
 $= \frac{1}{2} - (2^{2} + 4y - 6x^{2}y + 6x^{2}y + i(\frac{1}{2}y - 122y - 62y^{2} - (x+2x^{3}))$   
 $[(2+xy)^{3} = x^{3} + 6x^{2}yi - 6xy^{2} - y^{3}i + x^{2}i]$   
 $[(x+iy)^{2} = x^{2} + 22yi - y^{2}] \times -6$   
 $[(x+iy)^{2} = x^{2} + 22yi - y^{2}] \times -6$   
 $[(x+iy)^{1} = x+iy - [x(\frac{1}{2} - 4i))$   
Therefore,  $f(z) = 2iz^{3} - 6z^{2} + (\frac{1}{2} - 4i)z^{2}$ .  
(c)  $f(z)$  is a polynomial of  $z$ , so it is defined all over  $C$  and holomorphic everywhere. The largest abmain  $\bar{z}$   $C$ .

3. Idea : Use Cauchy's Thegral Formula after declay that the integrand is holomophic. (a) Cauchy's integral formula tells us that if f(2) is holomorphic in U, then  $\int_{\partial D} \frac{f(\lambda)}{z-\alpha} d\lambda = 2\pi i \cdot f(\alpha) \text{ for any } \alpha \in D \text{ where } D \text{ is a closed disk in U.}$ 

Dongsyn Lim

We can easily see that 
$$Z^2 - 9Z^2 + 1/(2+2) = (2-3)(Z^2 - 6Z - 7)$$
  
 $= (Z-3)(Z-7)(Z+1).$   
Let  $f(Z) = \frac{e^{-Z^2}}{(Z+1)(Z-7)}$ . As  $e^{-Z^2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{Z+1}$ ,  $\frac{1}{Z-7}$  are holomorphic  
outside  $Z = -1$  be  $Z = 7$ , we can drave  $U = \frac{1}{2} Z C : (Z-3) < 3\frac{1}{2}$   
over which  $f$  is holomorphic. Let  $D = \frac{1}{2} Z C : (Z-3) < 2\frac{1}{2}$ . Then, we can  
apply Caudy's integral famula:  
 $\int \frac{f(Z)}{(Z-3)=2} \frac{1}{Z-3} dZ = 2T(X + \frac{1}{3}) = 2T(X - \frac{e^{-3^2}}{(3+1)(3-7)})$   
 $= -\frac{1}{8}e^{9-TXi}$ .  
(b) Similarly, let  $f(Z) = \frac{Sin(Z)}{Z+2}$  and  $U = \frac{1}{2} Z C : (Z-1) < 3\frac{1}{3}$  and  
 $D = \frac{1}{2} Z C : (Z-1) < 2\frac{1}{3}$ . Then, as  $Z = 2$  bedorgs to  $D$ , we have the  
following formula:  
 $\int \frac{f(Z)}{Z-2} dZ = 2T(X + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2} Sin(2) \cdot TXi$ .

4. Idea: Use the maximum modules principle after decking the assumptions, The maximum modulus principle can be applied to  $f(z)(z^2)$  which is holomorphic In the connected open subset { ZEC: 2<121<31. The conditions given are  $|\{e_{2}/2^{2}| \leq 4$  for |2|=2 by  $|f(2)/2^{2}| \leq 4$  for |2|=3. This implies that on the boundary of the open subset, the absolute value is bounded above by 4. By the maximum modulus principle, (f(2)/22/ <4 on the open subset. It is equivalent to saying that  $|f(z)| \leq q (z^2)$  inside be on the boundary. 5 Maximum modules principle à a holomorphic function version of the maximum principle for a harmonic function,

Dongsyn Lin

5. Idea: Tollas the instruction (a)  $\oint_{Cr} \frac{f(z)}{z-z} dz = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{f(z+r,e^{it})}{r\cdot e^{it}} r\cdot e^{it} dt$   $(dz = \frac{d(z+r,e^{it})}{dt} dt = r\cdot e^{it} dt$  $= \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(3s+r\cdot e^{it}) \cdot i dt$ (b) Only thing affected by the change of r is f(20+tr. ent). As r goes to 0, it goes to f(20). The integral does not depend on  $\gamma$  and as  $f_{\overline{15}}$  continuous,  $f_{\overline{352}} = \frac{f(2)}{2-2}d2 = \int_{1}^{2} \frac{f(2)}{2-2}d2 = \int_{1}^{2} \frac{f(2)}{2-2}d2$  $= \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(a) \cdot idt$  $= f(z_{0}) i \int_{z_{1}}^{z_{1}} (dt = 2\pi i f(z_{0}))$ (c) In the proof of port by we used the fact that lim f = f lim. In order to have a concrete proof, we need to check under our assumption of the above "commutativity" holds. 6. Idea: Use the spherical coordinate Laplacian, In spherical coordinates, the Laplacian can be written as  $\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}\right) + othertems$ where the "other terms" are partial derivatives wirt the angles. However, we are lodging for the solutions which are radially symmetric. So, the equation can be witten as  $\frac{1}{\gamma^2} \cdot (r^2 \cdot u_r)_r = k^2 \cdot u$ Un+ 2Ur. However, in fact, the left hand side can be written as +(ru), Therefore, the equation now becomes  $f(ru)_{rr} = k^2 u$  and  $(ru)_{rr} = k^2 ru$ . We already know that f'-kf=0 has the solution  $f(x)=C_1e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}$  --  $U(H)=C_1e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}$ We get  $U(r) = C_1 \cdot \frac{e^{kr}}{r} + C_2 \cdot \frac{e^{-kr}}{r}$ . 5 Changing Un + 7 Un into f (nu) is crucial bad a little bit tricky.

Dongligue LTM

7. Idea: Use the spherical coordinale laplacian.  
As the equation is I-drink setup, we have Use they = Unit fully given  
that u is radially symmetric. So, the equation now becomes:  

$$U_{47} + \frac{1}{2}U_{7} = k^{2}U_{1}$$
.  
In other words,  $\gamma^{2}U'' + \gamma U' - k^{2} \cdot r^{2}U = 0$ . However, this looks similar to  
the Bessel's equation. We can try to change the coordinates to make this be  
the Bessel's equation. Let C be a scalar and  $V(H) = U(CH)$ . Then,  
 $V'(H) = CU'(CH)$  and  $V''(H) = C^{2}U''(CH)$ . If we plup in Cr to  
the arginal equation, we get  $C^{2}r^{2}U''(CH) + Cr U'(CH) - k'C'r^{2}U(CH) = 0$ .  
 $r^{2}'V''(H) - r''V'(H) - k'C'r^{2}U(CH) = 0$ .  
The Bessel's equation has the coefficient of this be  $+r^{2}$ , so we can guess  
that  $C^{2} = -\frac{1}{k^{2}}$  or  $C = \frac{1}{k^{2}}$ . Then,  $V(H)$  becomes a solution of the bessel's  
equation of order 0.  $\cdots$   $U(H) = V(-E) = V(kir) = C_{1}J_{1}(kir)$   
where  $J_{0}$ : the solution of the first kird. Yor that of the second kird.

8. Idea: Express the numerator and the denominator in terms of  $z = x_i i y$  and  $\overline{z} = x_{-i} y$ .

The denominator is  $(2-1)^2 = (2-1)(\overline{z}-1) = (2-1)(\overline{z}-1)$  and the numerator is  $1-(\overline{z})^2 = 1-\overline{z}\overline{z}$ . One way to prive that U is harmonic is to find a hobimorphic function  $f(\overline{z})$  which satisfies  $f(\overline{z}) + \overline{f(\overline{z})} = 2U$ . From the above observation, we have a guess  $f(\overline{z}) = \frac{1}{\overline{z}-1}$ . In this case, we get  $f(\overline{z}) + \overline{f(\overline{z})} = \frac{\overline{z}+\overline{z}-2}{(\overline{z}-1)(\overline{z}-1)}$ . We how observe that the numerator and the denominator can be "assembled" to generate what we are belowing for. A careful Consideration suggests  $f(\overline{z}) = \frac{-2}{\overline{z}-1} - (\overline{z}-1)\overline{z}$ . It is holomorphic on  $\frac{2}{\overline{z}}\overline{c}\overline{c}$ .  $(\overline{z}|\zeta|)$ . Therefore,  $U = Re(f(\overline{z}))$  is hormonic on  $f(\overline{x},\overline{z}) \in [R^2: \overline{x}^2 + \overline{z}^2 - 1] = U$ . Now, we can apply the maximum principle if U is continuous along the boundary  $\overline{x}^2 + \overline{z}^2 = 1$ . However, along the boundary, the denominator is  $(-2)(+(\overline{z})(-\overline{x}))$  becomes 0 at  $\overline{z}=1$ . So, it is not just discontinuous, but it is not defined. So, you convect defain the maximum.

**9.** Idea: Laplace's equation an spherical domain (8.4.2 in Snearer billey)  
Using Separation of Variables (U(r,0) = RT)(H(0), we have the candidable for u  
as follows: 
$$U(r,0) = \frac{1}{2^{n}} + \frac{2^{n}}{r^{n}} r^{n} (Ancorot Bisting)$$
 where  $A_{n}$  and  $B_{n}$  are  
 $\frac{1}{T_{n}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(0) as no do and  $\frac{1}{T_{n}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(0) sinnodo for the boundary candidate  $1 = f$  and  $2 = \frac{1}{T_{n}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (1 + 3 sino) as odo = -\frac{1}{T_{n}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (2 sino) db = \frac{1}{T_{n}} 2 \pi = 2.$   
 $A_{n} = \frac{1}{T_{n}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (1 + 3 sino) as no do = -\frac{1}{T_{n}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (2 sino + 3 sino as no) db = 0 + 0 = 0.$   
 $B_{n} = \frac{1}{T_{n}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (1 + 3 sino) sinnodo = -\frac{1}{T_{n}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (3 sino + 3 sino as no) db = 0 + 0 = 0.$   
 $B_{n} = \frac{1}{T_{n}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (1 + 3 sino) sinnodo = -\frac{1}{T_{n}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (3 sino + 3 sino as no) db = 0 + 0 = 0.$   
 $0 + \frac{2}{T_{n}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} 3 sin \partial do (n = 1)$   
 $\int_{0}^{2\pi} sin^{2} do d = \int_{0}^{2\pi} 2 z d = -\frac{1}{2} 2 T \cdot S_{n}, B_{1} = -\frac{3}{T_{n}} \cdot T = 3.$   
 $\therefore U(r,0) = (+r + r \cdot 3 \cdot 3 sino = (+3r sino).$   
**4** Harrison is simply 1+33 in the standard coordinate, It's Laplacian to  
Zero as both partial destratives variesh.$$